

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/745,363	KOSTRZEWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherali Ishrat	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat

(2) Anup Suresh

Date of Interview: 8 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior office Action

Claims discussed:

5, 7, 9 32-33, 36, 41-43, 46-47, 49-55

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sherali Ishrat/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that Applicant's arguments are fully considered however they are not persuasive for claims 46, 47 and 48, and these claims should be canceled. Arguments for independent claim 5 and 7 is persuasive however in claim 5, Applicant should include limitation "transforming said canonical polynomial into data as compressed data and similarly last limitation of claim 7 should also be replaced with limitation "transforming said canonical polynomial into data as compressed data" as based on the Applicant's arguments. Applicant's Attorney agreed to make amendment to the pending claims as suggested by the Examiner by an examiner's amendment.